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Author's columns...
Greetings everyone,

It’s our 40th anniversary this year! This is recent news to me. Over the past four decades Division 42 has adapted to serve the needs of our members. Practice is a lot more complicated than what I recall psychologists in Charlottesville were doing at that time while I was in graduate school. We are now practicing in a wide range of settings, and the expectations and regulations with which we contend have expanded. The Division needs to continue to adapt to meet the everchanging needs of our members. It is essential that we continue to support psychologists in the wide variety of settings in which we work, and that we grow and sustain our efforts to support people of diversity to become professional psychologists, leaders in professional psychology and our leaders in our division. In support of that we must find ways to promote health equity and the value of professional psychologists in health care services and systems.

Eileen Kohutis, Editor of the IP, is working on a professional psychologists, leaders in professional psychology and our leaders in our division. In support of that we must find ways to promote health equity and the value of professional psychologists in health care services and systems.

I am pleased to see that Chief of Practice Jared Skillings has announced the creation of a new position at APA: Senior Director for Independent Practice. Dr. Skillings is seeking to create a halftime position for someone who will continue to work from their practice the other half-time.

For several years we have seen APA actively reject interest in supporting the professional work of most of its membership in favor of promoting psychological science in public policy. While that has value, it does not require diminishing support for the way a majority of members bring the science of psychology to the public. An example of APA’s shifting priorities is the way the association is approaching the effort to accredit master’s education and endorse regulation of people with master’s degrees. The Association’s actions have been in the interest of the members who educate master’s students and the educational institutions that employ them. While they have made superficial efforts to address the future of doctoral psychologists, there has been scant attention given to how their endorsed changes to masters’ practice will impact doctoral psychologists. What I have heard is recitation of cliches. Adapting how our profession and our science serves the needs of our communities, and having psychology control a segment of the master’s clinician workforce has great value. The issue is how APA is going about it. It is essential that we think through the implications and unintended consequences of a such new policy. Division 42 leaders through our Advocacy Committee and some members throughout APA are giving executive time to ensure that the interests of professional psychologists are represented and supported in the policy of what is supposed to be the leading association of professional psychologists in North America.

Anyway, I hope that this new position is the start of a change in which the interests of practitioners will again be provided the attention and resources we require. I want to make sure that the new person has sufficient financial and staff support from APA to be effective in their position. I also want to make sure that this person stays connected if not embedded in the active practice community. Division 42 looks forward to actively collaborating with this person to address issues relevant to us. I expect there are other divisions and the SPTAs will want to do the same. As such I will propose to Dr. Skillings that APA Practice create an advisory committee to coordinate with the Senior Director for Independent Practice, and that this committee include the broad diversity of independent practice.

Best wishes to you all. I hope to see you at the Convention and at our Division 42 Social Hour.

M any of you have likely heard about “Council” or “CoR” (which stands for Council of Representatives). You may have heard it’s a decision-making body of the American Psychological Association (APA). As stated in the APA Council Handbook, Council is APA’s “supreme legislative and oversight body. It speaks for APA’s members and affiliates on matters advancing psychology as a science, as a profession, and as a means of promoting health, education, and human welfare” (https://www.apa.org/about/governance/council handbook.pdf). You may have heard it’s comprised of representatives from various groups such as state and territorial psychological associations, APA divisions, APA Board members, and Ethnic Minority Psychological Associations (EMPAs) who are elected to serve for a three-year term. There are 183 members in Council. And you may have heard Council meetings are held twice a year (usually in February and in August in conjunction with APA Convention).

So, you may have heard a lot of the above about Council, but have you heard how Council gets its work done and how your voices as Division 42 members are heard by others? Have you heard how Council has functioned during COVID?

It has been my honor to serve as a representative for Division 42 along with my colleagues, Drs. Lisa Grossman, Lenore Walker and Norm Abeles. I am in my last year of my first term as a CoR member (one can serve two consecutive 3-year terms), and because of COVID, I’ve only been on “Remote Council.” That means I’ve never participated in person as a Council rep in meetings. I’ve never sat at tables with
my co-CoR members to discuss various issues intimately; or seen CoR members in lines in front of the floor microphones waiting to hear their strong pleas for or against an item which will be voted on; or met in the ballroom where all Council members, along with APA staff and guests, congregate and voting Council members use clickers to vote and watch the votes show up on the big screen; or met in the hallways to share quick updates and debriefs or to advocate for agenda items and motions and maybe some hugs and smiles; or enjoyed breaks, cocktails, or meals with my CoR colleagues.

I may have missed all these important in-person elements of being a Council Rep, but I have read and reviewed the sometimes 1000+ page Council Agenda Book which arrives a few weeks before Council meetings. And due to video platforms and modified processes, I have been able: (1) to meet, connect, and learn of the concerns of CoR members at virtual tables and in numerous Town Hall meetings (e.g., how we can focus on being a membership organization vs a corporate one or how we can ensure that all areas of interest in the profession can be heard); (2) to witness the struggle we have at times to conduct ourselves professionally and respectfully; (3) to participate in Caucuses/Coalitions (volunteer groups which share common interests); (4) to hear the thoughts of others on issues affecting our constituencies and to share my own on the CoR listserv; (5) to experience a strong will, frustration tolerance, advocacy, a commitment of time, energy, sometimes high stress, and energetic group dedicated to improving the transparency of APA actions, enhancing the functioning and effectiveness of Council and its meetings, and ensuring Council is allowed to make decisions (volunteer groups which share common interests); (6) to see the CoR representatives in various meetings which are outside of the usual Council meetings. This year, for example, we have been very involved with the Council Effectiveness Workgroup (CEWG)—a diligent and energetic group dedicated to improving the transparency of APA actions, enhancing the functioning and effectiveness of Council and its meetings, and ensuring Council is allowed to use its power properly to ensure inclusion of all voices and concerns of our membership association.

As you can hear, being a part of Council involves a commitment to diversity and witness progress beginning; (6) to see the CoR representatives on my own CoR listserv; and (7) to participate in and contribute to sharing the voices of Division 42 members in any ways I and the Division’s representatives can. While it’s been challenging to be on “Remote Council,” and I’ve spent a lot of time on the video screen, I’m grateful for the opportunity to join others who are dedicated to our profession and the interests of independent practitioners.

Your Council Reps have been diligent about attending all meetings before, during, and after Council meetings. We review the materials, exchange emails with each other, and meet electronically as a group to discuss important issues to our members, bring forward issues of potential impact to the Division 42 Board and Executive Committee (EC), and strategize on how best to ensure our voices and yours are heard. We listen to differing opinions and promote practice when we hear items which seem antithetical to practice goals. We experience frustration and concern about the passage of Masters’ level accreditation without well thought-out information on how that will impact our doctoral level practices. While all 42 Council Reps are well-informed of the EC and Board’s concerns and initiatives, this term I’ve represented our Council Reps on the EC to ensure we are current and proactive in what the Board desires. We have shared narratives of what is and isn’t accomplished in Council with the membership. Your Council Reps also keep current on issues you’ve posted on the Division listserv, and we have participated in various meetings which are outside of the usual Council meetings. This year, for example, we have been very involved with the Council Effectiveness Workgroup (CEWG)—a diligent and energetic group dedicated to improving the transparency of APA actions, enhancing the functioning and effectiveness of Council and its meetings, and ensuring Council is allowed to use its power properly to ensure inclusion of all voices and concerns of our membership association.

As you can hear, being a part of Council involves a commitment of time, energy, sometimes high frustration tolerance, advocacy, a strong will, knowledge of Keeseey’s Parliamentary Procedure, an engaged presence, and dedication. It’s important work with a tremendous responsibility—Council members are, after all, a part of a vital decision-making body of APA, our association. As Division 42 Council Reps, we are here for you and want you to be heard. Thank you for the honor and privilege to serve as your representatives.

President Oppenheimer has requested that we reanimate the Forensic Committee which will be chaired by Dr. David Shapiro and Dr. Lenore Walker. This is a very exciting opportunity to make our imprint on the burgeoning field of forensic psychology practice. We are stressing the area of practice because that is central to the functioning of our division. We are hoping to develop an active and forward-looking committee. We are seeking new ideas to revitalize the committee, and to suggest directions in which it might move. For those of you not familiar with the history of the committee, here is a brief overview.

Originally, the Committee was concerned exclusively with continuing education. Under the able leadership of Dr. Bruce Frumkin yearly continuing education programs, separate and distinct from other continuing education opportunities, provided by Division 42 such as the yearly Fast Forward Conferences. These Fast Forward Conferences also had some forensic offerings incorporated into them. For a variety of reasons, some of which had to do with COVID, and others having to do with fierce competition from other CE providers, these programs were eventually discontinued.

The next incarnation of the forensic committee was a consultation service in which various members of Division 42, with expertise in specific areas were available on a rotating basis to answer questions on forensic psychology practice. Few members of the Division however utilized this consultation service.

We are now looking for a new model, or blend of several models, which would be helpful to the division. Some preliminary ideas that have been circulated involve reviving the consultation service, but with specific parameters in place that would be similar to, but not identical to, the Mentoring Program. Another possibility is to return to the idea of continuing education, perhaps working together with the new Continuing Education Committee to offer a forensic track in the workshops. Finally, a column that periodically explores new litigation and case law that will have a direct impact on practice. We welcome your reactions to these ideas and are certainly open to other ideas as well. If you have ideas for the functioning of the Forensic Committee, please email me at psyfor@aol.com.
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The next incarnation of the forensic committee was a consultation service in which various members of Division 42, with expertise in specific areas were available on a rotating basis to answer questions on forensic psychology practice. Few members of the Division however utilized this consultation service.

We are now looking for a new model, or blend of several models, which would be helpful to the division. Some preliminary ideas that have been circulated involve reviving the consultation service, but with specific parameters in place that would be similar to, but not identical to, the Mentoring Program. Another possibility is to return to the idea of continuing education, perhaps working together with the new Continuing Education Committee to offer a forensic track in the workshops. Finally, a column that periodically explores new litigation and case law that will have a direct impact on practice. We welcome your reactions to these ideas and are certainly open to other ideas as well. If you have ideas for the functioning of the Forensic Committee, please email me at psyfor@aol.com.
Federally Qualified Community Health Centers (FQHCs): One of the most important programs established during President Johnson’s Great Society Era was the FQHC. For 28-29 million Americans, especially in rural areas, these 1,400 centers (and their related look-alikes and service centers totaling 14,200 distinctive units) are the critical “safety net.” During our tenure on Capitol Hill, we envisioned that every island in Hawaii would ultimately have such a center. Medical Center Director David Derauf: “Kokua Kalihi Valley (KKV) Comprehensive Family Services is committed to providing comprehensive COVID care to our community. We believe strengthening relationships, providing free in-language testing and health education, and addressing the social determinants of health through culturally-safe, family-based care enabled us to better support our community through this last wave of COVID. Our community navigators attempt to contact every household for a comprehensive interview within 24 hours of a positive COVID test. Through a ‘talk-story’ conversational style, navigators provide public health education and check in on social and medical needs. Open-ended questions and deep listening, rather than potentially extractive checkbox style questioning, are used to understand household experiences and concerns. These discussions often lead to our team providing additional support, including emergency food and medication deliveries, rental assistance, unemployment navigation support, and in some cases legal support for eviction prevention.

“In December, 2021 and January of 2022 there were 673 positive cases, representing 429 households. Our navigators were able to conduct 424 intakes, which led to 375 household food and supply deliveries. This approach is much more than urgent short-term care. It is grounded in culture and relationships and strives to build community and hence change systems long term. One navigator explained: ‘I was calling a patient to let her know her quarantine was over and so I wouldn’t be checking in on her everyday anymore. Then the auntie asked me to turn on my video so that we could see each other’s faces, so that when we saw each other after the pandemic was over, she could thank me, and we could give each other a hug. After I turned on my camera the auntie showed me all the pictures on her wall and told me about her family. And she is not the only one, there are so many people who are now part of our ‘ohana’ (family).’ This work has spurred a ripple effect of community abundance. For example, one community health center worker who lives in public housing described how ‘after KKV’s deliveries, all my neighbors now knock on each other’s doors to share what we have with one another.’ FQHCs provide interdisciplinary, integrated, holistic care with a distinct emphasis upon prevention and wellness. For those with vision, they represent a vehicle in every state through which we can share what we have with one another.”

Health Policy & Quality Care Require Collaboration: During the national deliberations regarding whether President Obama’s Affordable Health Care Act (ACA) should, or should not, be repealed, Victor Drazu reflected at a National Academy of Medicine (NAM) conference in 2019: “Today we still have, you know, ACA, which I think is certainly sending many of us in the right direction in healthcare. But I think our effort also underscored the importance of state and local actions. So while national policy can have great influence on many issues in care delivery, progress really depends on actions at state and local level. It takes local leadership to set expectations, implement policy and bring together different stakeholders and communities, and it takes a community to work collectively together across multiple sectors to address social determinants and improve health outcomes. As NAM President, Victor then introduced North Carolina Governor Roy Cooper.

Governor Roy Cooper: “We’re getting the top of the class! The very best teachers who are agreeing to be public school teachers in our schools. We’ve got to encourage that and to push. But we know that these educators in schools often face kids who have had early childhood adverse experiences -- who have been dealt the bad hand because of where they live, or their economy, or their race, and we often expect our schools to magically educate them and fix them, and it’s hard to teach a child when that child is hungry. And so we’ve got to approach this holistically.

“I was in a western county talking to educators there, and they send a health form home with parents at the beginning of the school year, and the superintendent told me that this was the first year, and this was like a year ago or two years ago, this was the first year where parental concerns about their children’s mental health exceeded their concerns about their physical health. And so what I have proposed is more flexible funding for schools so that we can have more personnel that would help. Most of the teachers will tell you that I’ve got two kids in my class who need mental health treatment desperately, and sometimes they just cannot find that coverage. So we need more social workers. We need more psychologists. We need more counselors in our schools, and I have proposed budgets for that, and we need to work to do that.”

Ray Folen, Executive Director Hawaii Psychological Association (HPA): “Together we are better. Prior to 2021, the mental health professions in Hawaii were like ships passing in the night. Last year, three of us – the psychology, social work, and mental health and family therapy associations – decided to collaborate on legislative issues of mutual benefit related to access to care. One of the shared interests had to do with making insurance coverage of telephone-only telehealth services a permanent, rather than emergency, benefit. Another more recent initiative is mandating insurance coverage for services provided by post-degree trainees in the process of acquiring the supervised hours needed for full licensure (e.g., post-doc hours required for a psychology license). Since 2021, our three organizations have shared the expertise of an excellent lobbyist, someone we could not afford to hire individually. We have benefited tremendously from this arrangement which has resulted in significantly greater access to our state legislators. The impact of combined testimony supporting our bills has also been enhanced by the threefold increase of our collective memberships. Pooling our established community resources and relationships has significantly broadened the support we are now receiving from other health care organizations and community groups.

“This positive collaboration also led to a joint annual convention with an unprecedented number of workshops and programs to choose from. The convention received high marks from attendees and, for all three organizations, proved to be one of the most financially successful events ever. Recently, we have established a relationship with the psychiatric nurse practitioner association and plan to include them in the planning of the next joint convention.

“And there any downside to collaborating with social workers, marriage and family therapists, and nurse practitioners? To date, none that
we are aware of. There is a dearth of providers and no shortage of patients in need of mental health services in the State and the pandemic has only increased the need. Our organizations are working together on a shared goal to improve access to care. Despite the positive outcomes, I must admit that there are a few in our membership that have expressed some discomfort about cozying up with other professions. So far, though, the positive impact of our combined chorus of voices has been significantly greater than going solo."

**Evolving Times:** In the late 1980s and early 1990s, Kathy McNamara and I encouraged Henry Saeman to begin an independent newspaper for practitioners. The APA Monitor was wonderful; however, we believed that access to different voices would be beneficial in the long run. Henry was retiring from the Ohio Psychological Association (OPA) as the first full-time, paid Executive Director of any state psychological association in the U.S. By beginning The National Practitioner (TNP), Henry was combining his previous two 18 year careers – as a journalist and his tenure with OPA. TNP was, and still is, a family business. Henry founded TNP in 1991 with its inaugural issue published in time for the APA convention in San Francisco. When Henry passed away in 2003, his son Marty took over the helm and continues today as managing editor and publisher.

In its Summer 2021 edition, after 30 years of producing print editions, TNP announced that would be the last “print” edition. Since August, the new website has been a work in progress and is scheduled to launch on March 1st or earlier. All materials included in each CE Quiz will remain free and accessible to everyone. All other articles will be available to paid subscribers only. Marty explained: “Many readers have been receiving the print editions free for years, some even for decades. We just could not continue with that business model any longer. My family and I urge everyone to visit our website URL at www.nationalpsychologist.com.”

Kathy had the privilege of knowing and working with Henry for decades, and developing a friendship that she holds in her heart still. For those who do not know him, Henry was a Holocaust survivor from Germany. Though he seldom spoke about personal things, he once shared with her his memory of hiding behind his mother as they could hear Hitler’s SS nearby. It was Krystal Nacht. By a circuitous route with help from others – often strangers – Henry avoided capture and eventually arrived in the United States. He was very young, separated from his family who did not survive, and he did not speak any English.

Kathy’s reflection: “Henry’s desire to report the ‘rest of the story’ through TNP is only part of who Henry was and what he contributed to Psychology. Henry eagerly became my mentor and I with equal eagerness became his student. It was the most valuable learning experience of my graduate days. Henry continued with OPA in a lobbying capacity, and after finishing my doctorate I took on various roles within OPA, including at the beginning as the Chairperson for the Legislative Committee. I was able to continue to work with and learn from Henry. While I remained in Ohio, there were always things to do with Henry. The respect he had among legislators allowed leading psychologists access to those legislators. One of the legislative successes I attribute to Henry was the creation of the Wright State University School of Professional Psychology (SOPP). Though much work had been in process for years, primarily by Ron Fox and David Rodgers, the birth of SOPP occurred one evening at the Ohio legislature.

“A rather contentious Senate Finance Committee meeting was going on late into the night. The Senate Finance Chairman thought very highly of Henry, and happened to take a brief break, walking out of the room and walking into the nearby bathroom. If a budget below six figures could be brought to him the Senator in charge of Finance told Ron Fox and Jim Webb that he would put into the budget, as a line item, to fund the SOPP. The four of us went to a small nearby diner and on paper napkins worked out a budget for start-up funds totaling $99,999. Henry delivered it (in a more legible written form!) to the Senator, and at the same time delivered for Psychology, as he would in many ways until his death. As you might imagine having had a decades long friendship, I could talk on and on with tales about Henry. But, I will just say that I think of him often and miss him dearly.” “Our song is much stronger with every ‘Troll singin’. We’re livin’ in harmony” (Trolls Trolls To-pia).

Pat DeLeon, former APA President – Division 42
February, 2022

We are looking for thoughtful articles relevant to clinicians in practice.

For more information contact:
Jeff Zimmerman, PhD, ABPP
Editor
drzdjzphd.com

New! Free resource for attorneys, judges, psychologists. FREE special issue on Psychological Assessment in Legal Contexts in the Journal of Personality Assessment.

Comprehensive, credible reviews and critiques of psychometric evidence & legal status of commonly-used psychological & personality assessment measures used in forensic evaluations. 11 papers & a summary intro & editorial analysis. Entire free issue here: https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/hipa20/104/2

These articles offer clarity about strengths & weaknesses of a number of instruments to inform psychologists’ preparation for expert testimony, lawyers’ preparation for direct and cross-examination, judges’ evidence admissibility determinations, and scholars’ future research.

Articles on the Rorschach/R-PAS, MMPI-3, PCL-R, MCMI-IV & MACI-II, PAI and PAI-A, SIRS-2, HCR-20V3, TSI & TSI-2, & MacCAT-CA, ECST-R, and CAST*MR are included. To increase visibility, accessibility, & impact, published as free access, meaning available to download without charge.

We hope these articles will be widely read and useful to scholars and practitioners in both psychology and law. Please share the word with your network in the hopes that people who can make use of this great resource become aware that it exists!
Symposium 2.0: “That’s so political”: Why we need to address identity in the therapy room and how to do it

Chair
Tsotso Ablorh, MA, University of Massachusetts - Boston, Boston, MA
Participant/1st Author
Cheyenne Ashley, BS, University of Massachusetts - Boston, Boston, MA
Elizabeth Auguste, BA, University of Massachusetts - Boston, Boston, MA

Presidential Address: What’s New in Independent Practice?
Chair
Peter Oppenheimer, PhD, Barrington Behavioral Health Services, Barrington, RI

Skill-Building: Resolving the Common Factors/Medical Model Debate: Practices When Techniques Lack Inherent Power
Chair
Stephen C. Bacon, PhD, Independent Practice, Santa Barbara, CA

Critical Conversations: Ketamine Assisted Psychotherapy in Practice: The Benefits and Challenges of KAP
Cochair
Shoshana Aal, PsyD, Independent Practice, Lakewood, CO
Sara Markey, MD, Independent Practice, Denver, CO

Symposium 2.0: Common, Yet Challenging: Using Free Measures to Assess for Issues Clients Often Omit
Cochair
Joshua A Langfus, MA, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Eric A Youngstrom, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Participant/1st Author
Grace Little, BA, Helping Give Away Psychological Science, Chapel Hill, NC

**The Effects of Age on Life Impact Factors Assessed Through the Hypomania Checklist (HCL-32-R1)**
Co-Author: Rachael Kang, MS, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY
Co-Author: John Miller, JD, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Co-Author: Chase M DuBois, BA, Helping Give Away Psychological Science, Chapel Hill, NC
Joshua A Langfus, MA, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC

**Getting More from Existing Tools: Sleep Problems on GBI & ASEBA Associated with Child Mood Disorders**
Co-Author: Yen-Ling Chen, MA, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV
Co-Author: Jessica Janos, MA, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Co-Author: Jennifer K Youngstrom, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Co-Author: Robert L Findling, MD, MBA, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA
Joshua A Langfus, MA, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC

**Does Type of Trauma History Predict PTSD Symptoms in Youth?**
Co-Author: Eric A Youngstrom, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Co-Author: Jennifer K Youngstrom, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
Co-Author: Norah C Feeny, PhD, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH
Co-Author: Robert L Findling, MD, MBA, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA
John Comer, PhD, Florida International University, Miami, FL
**Candidate Statements**

The Division asks its candidates to answer the following questions within the statement.

1. What has been your history of service to Division 42?
2. What experience have you had relevant to the position you are seeking?
3. What are the most critical issues confronting independent practitioners?
4. How do you propose that Division 42 address these issues?

Please note that the submission of candidate statement was voluntary, so not all candidates listed on the ballot will have a statement.

**President-elect (one to be elected)**

**Terrence Koller, PhD, ABPP**

I'm honored to be nominated for the position of Division 42 president-elect. I have served the Division as Publications and Communications Chair since 2015. That position afforded me the privilege of working with the Journal Editor, Newsletter Editors, Website Administrator, Listserv Managers, and Social Media Chairs. I chaired the Search Committee that recommended our current Practice Innovations Journal Editor to the Board.

Additional experience includes two terms as the APA Council Representative from Illinois and Chair of the Association of Practicing Psychologists Platform Committee. I have attended nearly 30 State, now Practice Leadership, Conferences in my roles as President, Federal Advocacy Coordinator, and Executive Director of the Illinois Psychological Association (IPA). For 30 years, as IPA's Legislative Liaison I have been advocating to protect and expand opportunities for independent practice.

Psychologists must continue to be involved in social justice issues, business, advocacy and other areas too many to mention. We must never relinquish to non-doctoral level trained individuals our psychologist identity and the expertise we bring to society. We provide quality and personalized treatment to people who want to engage in a therapeutic relationship that is lasting and effective.

I will work to ensure that Division 42 continues to play an influential role in APA governance. I will also work to move the Division's Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion initiative forward. I will advocate to preserve the State Psychological Associations' influence in APA decision-making to ensure that we protect and expand the independent practice of psychology. I appreciate your vote.
I’m honored to have been nominated to run for Division 42 President-Elect. I have many years of D42 involvement with Litserv Moderation, and currently continue as Co-Chair. At the SPTA level, I have strong leadership experience, including Membership Chair, Secretary and President (3-year commitment to the Presidential Trio), and have created a mentoring program to support and mentor ECP and student members of the IPA. Currently, I’m serving a second 4-year term on the Illinois Department of Professional Regulation’s Clinical Psychologist’s Licensing and Disciplinary Board. I am excited to be able to use my leadership experience to take on a more comprehensive leadership role with D42.

The current mental health climate and pandemic has challenged independent practitioners to work harder to protect access to ethical and expert care. We’re all facing overflowing practices, high workloads and ever more paperwork and insurance pressures. With APA reviewing Master’s licensure, the No Surprises Act, the overturning of the Wit decision and App based companies growing at record rates, independent practices are under siege. Division 42 has important influence at APA with many members serving many boards/taskforces supporting independent practitioners across all settings and areas of expertise. If elected, I’ll attend to these issues and facilitate imperative initiatives, including Diversity, Equity and Inclusion and supporting Board members in their ongoing projects that are well underway.

In closing, I hope you will allow me the privilege to serve every D42 member and their unique clinical practice needs during this challenging time in the healthcare marketplace.

Member-at-Large (Two to be elected)

Cami Winkelspecht, PhD

As a practitioner and advocate for the diverse needs of psychologists in clinical practice, the opportunity to serve in the role of Member at Large for Division 42 would be an honor. Advocating for member needs to continue to deliver high quality clinical care is more important than ever and the Division 42 membership needs a strong voice that will work collaboratively with division leadership to advocate for practitioners. Throughout my career working as a practitioner in two large children’s hospitals and now in private practice, one of the greatest opportunities has been advocating to make resources needed for practice delivery accessible to practitioners and families to enhance clinical care. In my current position as the Continuing Education Committee Chair for Division 42, I have gotten to better understand the needs of the membership. Division 42 and would aim to continue building a responsive and innovative continuing education series that engages the diverse members of Division 42. In my work as a staff psychologist and clinical educator at Nationwide Children’s Hospital, I was involved in development and delivery of training in evidence-based models and organizing a continuing education platform for all behavioral health staff. This work helped me understand firsthand the often-limited opportunities for quality continuing education on vital topics impacting practice. This role would allow me to give back to a division which has warmly welcomed me into its family and bring my skills and talents to Division 42’s membership.

Council Representative (Two to be elected)

Bhupin Butaney, PhD

It would be a privilege to serve as APA Council Representative on behalf of Division 42. With so many vital topics on the table with the potential to reshape the field and impact practice, it is essential to bring diverse and experienced perspectives to these important discussions. With over 20 years of teaching and practice experience, I will bring relevant and practical perspectives to issues addressed by Council in the coming years.

Over the past two years, I have served on the Division’s Advocacy committee, working alongside other division members to advocate for independent practice within APA and state and national organizations. This year, I began serving as Chair of the Division’s Diversity committee, and was appointed as the Division’s liaison to the BPA workgroup charged with developing recommendations on title and scope of practice for the proposed Master’s level practitioner. In these roles, I have been able to systematically voice the concerns of independent practice in circles where policy decisions are made that impact the entire profession.

My current and previous professional roles have also involved training psychologists at the doctoral, internship, and ECP level. I have also served on the Executive committee of the National Council of Programs and Schools of Professional Psychology (NCSPP). In this role, I liaised to the Board of Professional Affairs (APA) and the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) and advocated for professional psychology training needs. With these experiences, I hope to bring a fresh and meaningful perspective to Council.

Craig Fabrikant, PhD

I hope that this email finds you doing well and staying healthy in these unique and challenging times.

I am taking this opportunity to introduce myself, so you are able to understand who I am and what I stand for. If elected I would assuredly serve all of you and most importantly Division 42 of the American Psychological Association.

Over the past 4 decades, I have been an active member of the Psychology community. I began my career as a Staff Psychologist with the State of New Jersey, then moved on to become the Chief Psychologist at a major teaching hospital in Northern New Jersey.

Twelve years ago I moved to Florida where I became licensed. I immediately became an active member of the Florida Psychological Association. Over the past decade, I have been a member of several committees and have chaired a few. From 2014-
2016 I was President of the Broward County Chapter. I was also Chair of the Child, Adolescent, and Family Division from 2015–2018. From 2018–2022 I was Chair of the Forensic Division. From 2014–2016 I was also Chair of the FPA membership committee and am currently serving as co-chair. I have been a Chapter Representative for Broward County Chapter and I have been a member of the Board of Directors for the past several years. In addition, I have also been the Ethics Advisory Chair for the past 3 years.

I am looking forward to being elected to the APA Council of Representatives, for Division 42.

Jana N. Martin, PhD

I pledged three years ago to be a big part of Division 42’s focus on “Thrivability” for independent practice if elected as your Council Representative and Division Board member. I’d be honored to continue what I’ve started, plus more, for another term.

Within the Division, I was a leader in President Patterson’s task force to re-envision “the structure and functions of the Division to make the Division more resilient to changes in, and expectations of, members.” We held many member meetings, brainstorming about the Division’s strengths/needs, and what we could accomplish for practice (e.g., new products and services). Results included revised policies and procedures and a new mission statement which supports our members “at all stages of their careers to build ethical business, practice, and leadership skills.”

At COR, alongside our other wonderful 42 representatives, I’ve diligently read documents, attended meetings, and advocated for practice when issues appeared to threaten it or representative for Broward County Chapter and I have been a member of the Board of Directors for the past several years. In addition, I have also been the Ethics Advisory Chair for the past 3 years.

I am looking forward to being elected to the APA Council of Representatives, for Division 42.

Diversity Representative to Council (One to be elected)

Dinelia Rosa, PhD

I am honored and humbled to be considered for APA Council Representative for Division 42. I am committed to continuing the division’s legacy of strong representation at Council. There are issues facing Council that will have a direct impact on the profession, including masters accreditation and continued threats to practice. We need strong and effective representation and leadership on Council to address these critical issues, representatives who will collaborate, establish linkages, and increase diversity representation, with and a broader perspective of inclusion to APA’s endeavors.

I bring my leadership experiences as past president of the NY Association of Hispanic Mental Health Professionals, and at the New York State Psychological Association (first Latina president), as founding member of the Division of Culture, Race and Ethnicity, Council Representative, and currently as Chair of the Grassroots Sub-committee. Within APA, I have served on the Committee of State Leaders, president of APA Division 31, State, Provincial and Territorial Psychological Association Affairs and currently serves as chair of Division 31’s Membership committee. I am past member of the APA Board of Professional Affairs, the Work Group for Expanded Advocacy and currently serve as commissioner in the APA Commission on Accreditation. I am a recipient of the APA Heiser Award for significant advocacy contributions. I have been a member of Division 42 for years, and look forward to representing this great division on Council. I am ready and able to serve in the leadership role.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Krystal Stanley, PhD

I am honored to be nominated to represent Division 42 on the APA Council of Representatives, and I am excited for the opportunity to continue to serve the Division that has been my professional home for over 10 years. I have been actively involved in Division 42 throughout my membership. As an ECP I served a 2-year term on the S/ECP Committee. More recently I completed a three-year term on the Division 42 Board as an At-Large member (Diversity). My participation on the Board was a deeply enriching experience; I learned so much about governance at the Division level, and I participated in developing policy for and decision-making on behalf of Division 42 members. As a Board member I also wrote articles on a variety of Diversity topics for The Independent Psychologist and served on both the Advocacy and Continuing Education Committees.

My involvement in Division 42 thus far and my being the owner of a thriving group private practice make me well suited to represent the interests of Division 42 members on the APA Council of Representatives. I regularly participate in consultation with group private practices around the country and as a result have an “ear on the ground” regarding the challenges, needs and desires of independent practitioners. Private practice is my full-time career and I am committed to doing my part as a Division 42 Council Representative to ensure that Psychologists will continue to be able to survive and thrive in independent practice.
Free Continuing Education (CE) Credits for Psychologists! This project, funded by the National Science Foundation, is being performed to better understand how mental health professionals come to conclusions and make decisions in evaluations in legally-relevant cases. It also includes personalized feedback to help you understand your own behaviors with a didactic portion with video instruction. First is a dynamic and interactive portion in which you read materials from a case and make judgments about the material, followed by tailored feedback about your performance and suggestions for how to improve your expert judgment. Then, a didactic portion with video content follows. Please click here for more information and to participate: https://training.concept.paloalton.edu/courses/neal-pronin-research.
Do you have a favorite Form, or practice Template that you would like to share with your colleagues. How about an online resource that you frequently utilize?

You are invited to contribute forms, templates and other documents to share with colleagues, and to download documents that others have contributed.

You are also invited to submit annotated links of books, movies, TV series and other media that you have found helpful in your work, or that you have enjoyed in your leisure time.

If you would like to share a resource or take advantage of what others have shared, log in to the Division website and go to the Member Resource page under the Resources tab.

Disclaimer: The resources and links below are provided by individual members of Division 42. They are not produced or endorsed by Division 42 or by APA. To ensure compliance with the laws and ethics in your jurisdiction, you are advised to consult with your own attorney and/or insurance risk manager prior to adopting them for your practice.